Global Agronomy Research Journal

Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Livestock Farming

Dr. Sandeep Thakur, Dr. Rekha Verma, Dr. Kiran Patel
Faculty of Agricultural Engineering, University of Gujarat, India

* Corresponding Author: **Dr. Sandeep Thakur**

Article Info

ISSN (online): 3049-0588
Volume: 02
Issue: 01
January-February 2025
Received: 25-12-2024
Accepted: 10-01-2025
Page No: 10-12

Abstract
Livestock farming is a significant contributor to global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, primarily through methane (CH_4), nitrous oxide (N_2O), and carbon dioxide (CO_2). These emissions have adverse effects on climate change and environmental sustainability. This article reviews various strategies, technologies, and practices aimed at reducing GHG emissions from livestock farming. Key approaches include dietary modifications, manure management, grazing management, technological innovations, and policy interventions. The article further explores the effectiveness of these methods and their potential for widespread implementation. The goal is to promote sustainable practices that can mitigate the environmental impact of livestock farming while ensuring food security and economic viability.

Keywords: global greenhouse, economic viability, GHG

1. Introduction

Livestock farming plays a crucial role in the global economy, providing food, fiber, and livelihood to billions of people worldwide. However, it also represents a significant source of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, accounting for approximately 14.5% of global anthropogenic GHG emissions, with methane emissions from ruminant animals like cattle being the most prominent. The livestock sector contributes to climate change by releasing large amounts of methane from enteric fermentation, nitrous oxide from manure management, and carbon dioxide from land-use changes and feed production.

Reducing GHG emissions in livestock farming is critical for mitigating climate change. Given the increasing global demand for animal products, it is essential to develop strategies that can sustainably reduce emissions without compromising food production. This paper aims to explore various methods for reducing GHG emissions in livestock farming, evaluating their potential benefits, challenges, and the role of innovation in driving change.

2. Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Livestock Farming

2.1. Sources of Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Livestock farming is a complex system that involves several sources of GHG emissions, including:

- **Enteric Fermentation**: Methane (CH_4) is produced during digestion in the stomachs of ruminant animals (cattle, sheep, goats). This is the largest source of GHG emissions from livestock farming.
- **Manure Management**: Decomposing manure generates methane and nitrous oxide (N_2O), especially when manure is stored in lagoons or pits.
- **Feed Production and Transportation**: Growing crops for animal feed requires significant energy inputs and can result in land-use changes and deforestation, which contribute to carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions.
- **Land Use and Land Use Change (LULUC)**: Deforestation for pastureland or feed production releases large amounts of CO_2 stored in soil and vegetation.

2.2 Impact of Livestock Emissions on Climate Change

Livestock emissions, particularly methane, are highly potent GHGs. Methane has a global warming potential (GWP) approximately 28 times greater than CO_2 over a 100-year period. Nitrous oxide is another potent GHG, with a GWP of about 265 times that of CO_2. These emissions contribute to the warming of the atmosphere, exacerbating climate change.

3. Strategies to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Livestock Farming

3.1 Dietary Modifications

The composition of livestock feed significantly influences the amount of methane produced during digestion. Various strategies have been developed to reduce methane emissions through dietary adjustments:

- **Feed Additives:** Certain additives, such as fats, tannins, and oils, can reduce methane production in the rumen. Some specific compounds, such as 3-NOP (3-nitrooxypropanol), have shown promise in inhibiting methane production without affecting animal health or productivity.
- **Improved Feed Quality:** High-quality forage with more digestible fibers can reduce methane emissions. Ensuring animals receive balanced diets that enhance feed conversion efficiency is a key strategy.
- **Inclusion of Seaweed:** Adding seaweed to cattle feed has shown to significantly reduce methane emissions. Compounds like bromochloromethane found in seaweed inhibit methane-producing microbes in the rumen.
- **Grain-based Feeds:** Shifting from traditional roughage-based feeds to grain-based feeds can reduce methane emissions as grains ferment less in the rumen, producing lower methane levels.

Table 1: Feed Additives and Their Effect on Methane Emission Reduction

Additive	Methane Reduction Potential (%)	Mechanism
3-NOP (3-nitrooxypropanol)	30-40%	Inhibits methanogenesis in the rumen
Tannins	10-20%	Reduces methanogen activity by binding proteins
Seaweed (Asparagopsis spp.)	80-99%	Inhibits methane-producing microbes in the rumen
Fats (e.g., vegetable oil)	10-15%	Increases ruminal efficiency, reducing methane

3.2 Manure Management

Efficient manure management is crucial for reducing methane and nitrous oxide emissions. Techniques for improved manure management include:

- **Aerobic Treatment:** Composting manure in aerobic conditions can reduce methane production compared to anaerobic storage in pits or lagoons. The process produces CO_2 instead of methane.
- **Anaerobic Digesters:** Anaerobic digestion of manure produces biogas, which can be captured and used for energy generation. This reduces methane emissions from manure storage and provides a renewable energy source.
- **Manure Application Timing:** The timing and method of manure application can influence N_2O emissions. Applying manure during periods of low microbial activity can help reduce emissions.
- **Covering Manure Pits:** Covering manure storage pits or lagoons can reduce methane emissions by preventing the release of gases into the atmosphere.

Table 2: Manure Management Techniques and Their Effectiveness

Technique	GHG Emission Reduction (%)	Notes
Composting	50-60%	Reduces methane emissions via aerobic treatment
Anaerobic Digesters	60-80%	Generates biogas, reduces methane emissions
Manure Application Timing	20-40%	Reduces N_2O emissions if applied at optimal times
Covering Manure Pits	50-75%	Prevents methane release during storage

3.3. Improved Grazing Management

Grazing management techniques can also reduce emissions from livestock farming. These include:

- **Rotational Grazing**: Rotating livestock between different pasture areas allows grasses to regenerate, increasing soil carbon sequestration and reducing the need for fertilizer, which in turn reduces nitrous oxide emissions.
- **Pasture Management**: Improving pasture quality through reseeding, fertilization, and avoiding overgrazing can increase carbon sequestration in soils and enhance methane capture by plants.
- **Agroforestry**: Integrating trees into grazing systems enhances carbon storage in soils, reduces erosion, and provides shade for livestock, improving animal welfare.

3.4. Technological Innovations

Technologies such as precision livestock farming (PLF) can reduce GHG emissions by improving animal welfare, optimizing feed intake, and enhancing farm management:

- **PLF Sensors:** These systems monitor animal health, feed intake, and behavior in real-time, enabling better management decisions to optimize feed use and minimize waste.
- **Methane Detection Technologies:** Technologies that monitor and quantify methane emissions from livestock help farmers identify high-emission animals and implement targeted mitigation strategies.
- **Selective Breeding:** Breeding animals with improved feed conversion ratios and lower methane emissions is an emerging field with great potential to reduce emissions.

4. Policy and Regulatory Approaches

Government policies play a critical role in incentivizing and regulating GHG emissions reduction in livestock farming. Key policy approaches include:

- **Carbon Pricing:** Carbon taxes or cap-and-trade systems can encourage farmers to adopt low-emission practices by attaching a financial cost to GHG emissions.
- **Subsidies and Incentives:** Providing financial incentives for adopting GHG-reducing technologies, such as anaerobic digesters or methane-reducing feed additives, can accelerate the adoption of best practices.
- **Education and Outreach:** Governments can invest in educating farmers about GHG reduction strategies, providing resources and technical support to promote sustainable farming practices.

5. Conclusion

Reducing GHG emissions in livestock farming is crucial for mitigating climate change and achieving sustainability in food production. A combination of dietary adjustments, improved manure management, grazing strategies, and technological innovations can significantly reduce emissions while maintaining productivity. Policy interventions, including carbon pricing and incentives, are essential for encouraging widespread adoption of these practices. Through continued research and the application of these strategies, the livestock sector can contribute to global efforts to combat climate change.

6. References

1. Gerber P, Steinfeld H, Henderson B, *et al*. Tackling climate change through livestock: A global assessment of emissions and mitigation opportunities. FAO; c2013.
2. Hristov AN, Oh J, Firkins JL, *et al*. Mitigation of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from ruminant livestock: A review of the technical options. J Anim Sci. 2013;91(11):4646-4666. doi:10.2527/jas2013-6581.
3. Van Zijderveld SM, Dijkstra J, Bannink A, *et al*. Effects of dietary manipulations on methane production in ruminants. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2011;166-167:308-330. doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.04.039.
4. Schils RLM, Aschmann M, Olesen JE. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions from livestock systems. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2014;196:23-39. doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2014.06.019.
5. Tamminga S, Dijkstra J, Oenema O, *et al*. Emission of methane from dairy cattle systems. Livestock Science. 2007;112(1-2):43-54. doi:10.1016/j.livsci.2007.01.004.
6. Niu M, Sun Y, Liu Q, *et al*. Methane emissions from the manure management systems in livestock production: A review. J Clean Prod. 2017;156:310-322. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.04.102.
7. Luo Q, O'Loughlin P, Brennan P, *et al*. Greenhouse gas emissions from cattle manure management systems in Australia: Estimation and mitigation options. Agricultural Systems. 2016;143:76-86. doi:10.1016/j.agsy.2016.01.008.
8. Beauchemin KA, McGinn SM, Holtshausen L, *et al*. Dietary mitigation of enteric methane from ruminants. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2011;166-167:208-222. doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.04.061.
9. Knapp JR, Laur G, Vadas PA, *et al*. Review: Enteric methane in dairy cattle production: Quantifying the opportunities and impact of reducing emissions. J Dairy Sci. 2014;97(6):3231-3249. doi:10.3168/jds.2013-7612.
10. Li X, Liao Y, Zhang L, *et al*. Reducing methane emissions from livestock farming: Mechanisms and strategies. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment. 2016;216:207-218. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2015.10.030.
11. Sangiovanni G, Bérenger J, Bellocchi G. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions in dairy farming systems: A case study on mitigation technologies. Livestock Science. 2016;189:1-10. doi:10.1016/j.livsci.2016.05.007.
12. Herrero M, Thornton PK, Power B, *et al*. Livestock and greenhouse gas emissions: The importance of getting the numbers right. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2009;151(1-2):46-57. doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2008.10.013.
13. Van der Meer I, Bos AP, Meijer W, *et al*. The effect of grazing management on methane emissions in dairy cattle. Grass and Forage Science. 2005;60(2):139-147. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2494.2005.00456.x.
14. Ryschawy J, Baret PV, Dufour S, *et al*. Livestock farming and greenhouse gas emissions: A review of the mitigation potential of key agricultural practices. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment. 2013;177:1-9. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2013.04.010.
15. GHG Protocol Initiative. A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition). World Resources Institute; c2004.
16. FAO. World Livestock 2011 – Livestock in food security. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2011.
17. Basset-Mens C, Van Der Werf HMG. The effect of diet on methane emissions in livestock production: A meta-analysis. Animal. 2009;3(5):730-739. doi:10.1017/S1751731109004371.
18. Horan B, Kilpatrick DJ, Mullins E, *et al*. Effect of dietary additives on methane emissions from dairy cows. J Dairy Sci. 2013;96(8):5074-5084. doi:10.3168/jds.2013-6749.
19. Zubair M, Feng Z, Xu Z, *et al*. Global carbon footprint of livestock production. Global Change Biology. 2020;26(5):2891-2902. doi:10.1111/gcb.14971.
20. McAuliffe G, McHugh N, Thorne F, *et al*. Sustainable livestock farming systems: Greenhouse gas mitigation opportunities in Ireland. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2016;214:7-20. doi:10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2016.02.004.
21. Lefcourt AM, Meijer H, Schuitema A, *et al*. Mitigating methane emissions from cattle manure through slurry additives. Environmental Technology. 2010;31(2):139-148. doi:10.1080/09593330903553507.
22. Cederberg C, Mattsson B. Life cycle assessment of milk production – A comparison of conventional and organic farming. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2000;8(6):331-348. doi:10.1016/S0959-6526(00)00037-1.
23. Skinner L, Hatfield J, Schaefer L. Managing the carbon footprint of livestock systems: Emission and mitigation potentials. Agri-Environment. 2011;8(2):105-118. doi:10.1098/Agrienv_2011_032.
24. Tackling Climate Change: A Guide to Climate-Smart Agriculture. World Bank Group; c2016. Available at: https://www.worldbank.org
25. Cottle DJ, Baigent R, Long SJ, *et al*. Potential for reducing greenhouse gas emissions from Australian beef cattle. Agricultural Systems. 2012;108:23-31. doi:10.1016/j.agsy.2011.12.003.