

# Global Agronomy Research Journal

## A Combination of Land Education Research 'tween 2011 and 2022

**Allyson Moore**

Agricultural and Extension Education from the School of Human Sciences at Mississippi State University, United States

\* Corresponding Author: **Allyson Moore**

---

### Article Info

**ISSN (online):** 3049-0588

**Volume:** 01

**Issue:** 06

**November-December 2024**

**Received:** 25-09-2024

**Accepted:** 29-10-2024

**Page No:** 06-10

### Abstract

In 2013, Kovar and Globe written a information review on the land education research administered from 1988 to 2011. On account of their study, they situated 49 items written within period of time. Because therefore, land learning research has happened named as the champion preference apiece American Friendship of Land Education's (AAAE) Nationwide Research Principles in 2011, 2016, and 2023; various more studies have happened written in answer to this call. A current combination of the drama was transported in consideration of gain awareness on the course of land proficiency research inside our field from 2011 to 2022. The research group persistent that skilled was an increase in items written because 2011 what studies have happened extended to deal with new designs and hearings to conduct healthier land knowledge research. This increase in land education research needs in the second place to trail a beneficial flow, exceptionally because the United states of America state has not illustrated greater land knowledge levels still (Bradford and others, 2019; Longhurst and others, 2020).

**Keywords:** Kovar, Land Education, Combination

---

### Introduction

Land education is a complex discipline; as a field that communicates with and straightforwardly affects the general public, it is necessary that the agricultural community efficiently communicates information to non-agriculture peoples (Clemons *et al.*, 2018; Stofer & Newberry, 2017) <sup>[7, 12]</sup>. The American Partnership of Agricultural Education's (AAAE) Domestic Research Values have centered on the understanding of farming in our society as the winner research priority (Doerfert, 2011; Roberts *et al.*, 2016; AAAE, 2023) <sup>[4, 5]</sup>. In 2023, the Nationwide Research Values continued this focus and asserted "advancing public knowledge of AFNR wholes" as the first research value filed (AAAE, 2023) <sup>[7]</sup> since the American public has continued to exhibit depressed levels of agricultural literacy (Mercier, 2015; Stofer & Newberry, 2017) <sup>[8, 13]</sup>. The American Farm Bureau's (2012) <sup>[8]</sup> Mainstays of Agricultural Education indicate that agricultural knowledge education is needed by any means ages, highlighting the importance of all's need for understanding basic land vocabulary to make cognizant choices as a consumer. Various studies on the public's ideas and attitude towards agriculture have told that there is a communication breach between the agricultural manufacturing and non-agriculturalists (Lundy *et al.*, 2006; Capacities & Roberts, 2022; Settle *et al.*, 2017; Stofer and others., 2023) <sup>[7, 3]</sup>. Most of the public relies on land products and are affected when land issues arise, to a degree food scarcity, animal afflictions, water conservation, among added topics (Valley *et al.*, 2017; McLeod- Morin *et al.*, 2020; Rotz & Fraser, 2015) <sup>[6, 3, 5, 7]</sup>.

In answer to AAAE's research values, agricultural instruction researchers have focused works on determining in what way or manner to overcome lower agricultural literacy levels through differing agricultural literacy actions (Brune *et al.*, 2020; Vallera & Bodzin, 2016) <sup>[4, 9]</sup>. Nevertheless, agricultural literacy as a research drive is not new; concerns surrounding this argument can be traced back to 1988, when the Ethnic Research Council driven a growing need for agricultural instruction to include agricultural education as an objective. In this report, an agriculturally educated person was defined as dignitary who possessed an "understanding of the meat and fiber system [that] includes allure history and its current financial, social, and environmental meaning to all Americans" (National Research Body, 1988, p. 1) <sup>[3]</sup>. These concerns are still echoed today, and current research displays that agricultural literacy programs acknowledged to the National Research Council's request because 1988 (Anderson *et al.* 2014; Sandlin & Perez, 2017) <sup>[6, 8]</sup>.

and Behavior; Wisdom, Electronics, Design & Arithmetic; Breeding, Association, Frugality, and Earth science. In each idea and grade level, knowledge aims are clear; these effects are conveyed expected secondhand accompanying a syllabus and communication plan table named the Nationwide Land Learning Syllabus Cast, again grown by Spielmaker in 2014 (Social Center for Land Education, n.d.).

Furthermore, a persisting Land Experiment Station (AES) multistate land education research commission has provided to the increasing, written history on land learning. Because 2003, these boards (W1006, WERA207, W2006, W3006) have settled and understood their own research plan to help build a more well-balanced understanding of the needs encircling land knowledge. Their research goals inquire to determine land information of state; determine stances, ideas, and ambitions of those communities; and judge land learning programs. The current 2020-2024 AES multistate land proficiency research task force (W3006) has previously presented or hooked up in various disclosures (Enns, 2023; Judd-Murray, 2023; McFadden and others. 2021)<sup>[8, 12, 19]</sup> and bestowed research at differing conventions, to a degree the Northward American Colleges and Educators of Farming (NACTA), Governmental AAAE and allure provincial conventions, Union of Ideas Superiority, Ethnic Farming in the Homeroom Convention, and the Interstate Land Route Conference.

Inside the field of land instruction, research has designated that the United States of America society is still not agriculturally educated (Valley and others., 2017; Stofer & Newberry, 2017)<sup>[9, 6, 8]</sup>. Still, land proficiency research and pushes stretch to arise from our punishment, and skilled are resumed exertions ought towards a more agriculturally educated association. This item aims to recognize and resolve those current exertions.

### Purpose and Research Questions

The purpose concerning this study search out copy and extend upon Kovar and Globe's (2013) item, that bestowed a combining of land education research from 1988 to 2011. Offset afterwards Kovar and Globe's item, our combination contains brochure from 2011 to 2022, providing a current review and intuitiveness on the course of land education research inside our field. Essentially, our research questions are analogous to those of Kovar and Sphere's:

1. What studies were transported in land instruction concerning land education because Kovar & Globe (2013)?
2. What states were mean in land proficiency research?
3. What was the purpose of the land learning research?
4. What approvals were submitted established a summary of the land education research?

### Systems

This study's arrangements attend Kovar and Sphere's (2013) combining of land learning research. Administering a combining by inspecting past research and construction ideas from our composition is perceptive to our field (Cooper, 2010). Thus, prior history supports dossier that maybe determined and linked to focal point prevalent styles, providing a real understanding of the route of research in our field. Our review of the land knowledge research from ancient times ten of something was transported through accumulation, systematize, triangulation of dossier, and recoding (Denzin, 1970).

Akin to Kovar and Sphere (2013), search addition and tests were persistent first; databases in the way that chronicle websites and ERIC were the main study wholes secondhand. Because AAAE conventions recognize and present research that trails the Social Research Schedule, and Kovar and Sphere contained AAAE colloquium incidents inside their search, our study involves this table also. Keywords containing "agricult\* knowledge" and "agricult\* instruction" were create to find items and increase bureaucracy to an Surpass computer program to later be systematize. Deviating from Kovar and Sphere, added stories of land learning, in the way that experimental proficiency and radio education were contained also but only if they concentrated on farming. Disclosure of items had expected betwixt Noble 2011 (when Kovar and Sphere interrupted accumulating items) and Grant permission 2022 (Social AAAE Colloquium). On account of the search, 62 individual items were situated through the Chronicle of Land Instruction, Chronicle of Continuation, Chronicle of Used Ideas, NACTA Chronicle, or AAAE research colloquium measures. All local colloquiums and the interstate colloquium were contained, but only the paper actions of each colloquium were thought-out.

A systematize model was shipped out for three supplementary analysts. Separately, we state the 62 picked items and persistent the 1) mark state, 2) purpose of study, and 3) study approvals each. Rule groups reflected Kovar and Globe's (2013) systematize model:

1. Aim state: a) coaches, b) undergraduates, c) non-teacher men, and d) different.
2. Purpose of study: a) evaluating land education, b) experiment a program, c) expanding a foundation or guide, and d) additional.
3. Approvals: a) evaluating land learning, b) experiment the influence of a program, and.

### d) Different

Later appendages recognized systematize each division, the results were assembled, distinguished, and checked. Some systematize that developed in different was reconsider; suitable way, new types arose, and items were therefore regrouped in accordance with the new systematize method.

### Results

Research Question 1: What studies were transported in land instruction concerning land knowledge?

Since Kovar and Ball's (2013) item, 62 items (n = 62) were situated inside five databases. While Kovar and Ball situated three added beginnings that contained land education research, no diversified beginnings were about this study. Instead, the Journal of Applied Communications was increased the overall list, as various land learning research studies were erect inside that beginning. The Journal of Applied Communications was not earlier labeled as a providing beginning in Kovar and Ball's study.

Every year, from August 2011 to May 2022, research on land learning was written (Table 1). Not all of 2011 and 2022 were contained in this place search, so lower numbers of news are stated in these timeframes. However, skilled are complete age that hold 1-3 booklets, to a degree 2012, 2013, and 2015. Notably, 2016 holds the capital number of booklets at 12, accompanying 2019 close behind at ten brochures. AAAE provincial and nationwide colloquiums created the maximal number of magazines at 50.00% (n = 31), and the Journal of Agricultural Education was the topmost-bearing chronicle

accompanying 11 items.

**Table 1:** Written Land Education Research Areas, 2011-2022 (n=62)

Year	JAE	NACTA	JOE	JAC	AAAE	Total
2011	1	0	0	0	0	1
2012	0	0	0	0	1	1
2013	0	0	2	0	0	2
2014	1	0	0	2	2	5
2015	0	0	2	1	0	3
2016	2	1	1	3	5	12
2017	2	1	1	0	2	6
2018	1	0	3	0	5	9
2019	1	1	0	1	7	10
2020	3	0	0	0	2	5
2021	0	1	0	0	4	5
2022	0	0	0	0	3	3
Total	11	4	9	7	31	62

**Research Question 2:** What peoples were address in land education research?

Originally, the ideas labeled inside Kovar and Balls (2013) study were secondhand as the base to decide the mark cultures (schoolteachers, graduates, non-teacher men, and different). When new ideas arose, the ideas were restored to indicate current research styles. For this reason, Extension artists, land partners, and non-populace classifications were additional (Table 2). Extension pros were classification under coaches, as they are a most of land instruction, and preservice faculty members were contained in the seminary juniors type, as they are still graduates.

Students were the topmost recognized aim culture, top 51.61% of the total mark communities (n = 32). Of these graduates, basic (n = 7), grades 9-12 (n = 9), and association (n = 11) were ultimate accepted. Teachers as a aim society attended at 19.35% (n = 12), and K-12 lecturers were erect expected the best labeled title inside that culture. Non-teacher persons and added were lower in labeling, constituting 17.74% (n = 11) and 11.29% (n = 7) of the total aim populace. Seven items were erect to have non-populace as their goal (information reviews, educational program studies, to foreshadow terraces, etc.).

**Research Question 3:** What was the purpose of the land knowledge research?

The ideas experiment a program, determining land proficiency, and expanding a guide or foundation were reused from the Kovar and Ball (2013) item as our primary ideas of research. However, evolving a guide or foundation was altered to evolving an tool to contain studies that created guides, foundations, models, models, evaluations, and mechanisms. The systematize crew raise additional ideas, that contained trying outlooks, attending punishment self-analysis, and judging through content study (Table 3). Testing a program was the capital labeled study purpose, as 25 items joint this aim. The lowest recognized purposes were expanding an agent (n = 3) and judging through content reasoning (n = 3).

## Discussion

The field of land instruction has considerably extended the number of studies on land knowledge over ancient times ten of something. In Kovar and Ball's (2013) study, 49 items were recognized from 1988 to 2011. As a result of this study, it was persistent that 62 items have because therefore existed

written from 2011 to 2022. Comparatively, the land education research administered raised from 2.13 to 5.64 items a period, that is an increase of 164.79%.

Additionally, Kovar and Ball (2013) erect that the topmost number of magazines was in 1994 and 1999, at four brochures each. Comparatively, the best number of announcements we raise was in 2016 (n = 12) and 2017 (n = 10). These verdicts illustrate that a better importance has existed established on land education earlier ten of something, ongoing the beneficial current erect by Kovar and Ball. The increase in 2017 concede possibility likewise be assign to the prominence established on land learning as the first research arrangement in the National Research Agenda freed in 2016 (Enns and others., 2016).

Furthermore, AAAE conventions stretch to be the topmost providing beginning of land education research, as they created 36.73% (n = 18) of Kovar and Ball's judgments and 50.00% (n = 31) of our verdicts. Academic colloquiums comprise a scope for bearing healthy dialogues and restoring blooming studies. This judgment is specifically famous to our field, as it shows that we repeatedly and usually undertake discourse concerning this issue at the territorial and governmental level done yearly. Moreover, the Journal of Agricultural Education, written by AAAE, caused the best number of land proficiency brochures. For example, Kovar and Ball erect that the Journal of Agricultural Education caused 17 items over 20 age, and our study situated 11 items over ten age. Thus, the Journal of Agricultural Education has persisted expected the main host for land knowledge newspapers.

Within our study, 32 items (51.61%) recorded a mark society of juniors. Within this community, five ideas arose: 1) basic, 2) secondary school, 3) grades 9-12, 4) K-12, and 5) lyceum. While Kovar and Ball (2013) erect basic graduates expected the basic aim society all along their timeframe of research written, we raise that grades 9-12 and lyceum juniors were often named. For example, Baker and Eck (2019) attended a study that determined grades 9-12 undergraduates' information and ideas of GMOs. As a result, it was driven that the graduates were not carefully or agriculturally cultured concerning their understanding of GMOs. Thus, they urged that school- located land instruction (SBAE) instructors undertake supervised professional happening to better address this issue in their courses. Other instances of research that recognized grades 9-12 scholars as their aim culture usually determined land knowledge, proven an land learning program, or inspected educational program (Bradford and others. 2016; Calico and others. 2014).

We more erect that nearly half of the items forwarding institute undergraduates (n = 10) expressly fixated on pre-duty educators (n = 4). Retention of scholars is a prevailing research business inside land instruction (Guffey & Young, 2020; Moser & McKim, 2020), and upholding the future generations of lecturers is a acknowledged need inside our field. For example, Tummons and others. (2020) judged the recently presented preservice professional growth at the Curriculum for Agricultural Science Education (CASE) institute; the study specifically fixated on the players' knowledge accompanying the use of learning procedures in the land lecture room. Tummons and others. erect that the preservice schoolteachers nearby were mainly ignorant of or were recently brought in to the link middle from two points applying knowledge designs in the homeroom and growing land content information. By contextualizing proficiency in

the land study hall, the CASE institute aided preservice professors enhance more alert of their future part in land instruction, that contained growing land knowledge. In other words, on account of the program, these coaches fulfilled by means of what they commit influence their graduates' land proficiency by utilizing knowledge methods.

Additionally, Lemley & Hart (2019) secondhand the Disciplinary Literacy Project to further help preservice scholars' proficiency practices in the land lecture room. While the study was led by Moje's (2015) 4E's Heuristic of Disciplinary Literacy Instruction that does not expressly devote effort to something land learning, the project was secondhand in an land circumstances accompanying future land lecturers as the players. Preservice coaches were requested to examine what corrective education looks like in farming and by means of what they can merge knowledge-distinguishing practices into their homeroom to reinforce their scholars' understanding of farming as a regimen. In particular, players were capable to label stage methods to help their undergraduates better grasp land agreements, ideas, and facts, that will in proper sequence increase their pupils' land education. In fact, apiece end of the study, the research crew famous that their members were alert place they take care of merge the Pillars of Agricultural Literacy into their demand and preparation (American Farm Bureau, 2012).

These studies, with possible choice, explained that preservice supervisors are a society that keep benefit from more direction on in what way or manner to merge land education blueprints into their education practice, specifically before they start their brimming-opportunity education declaration. Accordingly, it is main to decide the readiness and ideas of preservice assistants towards land learning, as their understanding of land proficiency can influence their own influence as future supervisors (Clemons and others., 2018). Because 2011, studies have somewhat regulated their range concerning purposes for land education research. While we acted start accompanying Kovar and Globe's (2013) original three ideas (experiment a program, determining land education, and expanding a guide or foundation), we finished adjoining three more (checking views, transporting regimen self-analysis, and judging through content reasoning). These additional ideas signify the progress of a more off-course focus in land knowledge research, affecting further just an appraisal or program experiment approach. Still, experiment a program and determining land education still wait between the plurality in the purposes of the studies recognized. Accompanying experiment a program giving reason for 40.32% ( $n = 25$ ) and determining land education constituting 19.35% ( $n = 12$ ), it is clear that land learning research is generally located in these two aims.

Nevertheless, the only different idea that was labeled ultimate, in addition determining land knowledge, was testing views at 24.19% ( $n = 15$ ). Various items articulated interest in fact-finding stances of supervisors, society appendages, and pupils towards differing land learning actions or existent positions. E.g., Pennisi and others. (2018) wanted to better appreciate society support towards an land learning project that contained an atmosphere instruction center and presentation farm. Likewise, Anderson-McCoon and others. (2016) scrutinized members' knowledge accompanying animals raised on a farm exhibits at a state fair so that decide the overall stance towards animal farming. Two together of these instances show a research objective that neither determines the land knowledge of a society nor the fame of

an land education program. Alternatively, this type of purpose notifies our field of potential streets for further research, studies that take care of therefore determine education or implement a program.

Cultivating or revising educational program ( $n = 12$ ) joint the chief recognized advice idea accompanying experiment a program ( $n = 12$ ). By way of the number of studies that specifically attracted on educational program design or correct, our crew acknowledged the need to rule bureaucracy in their own classification. Seeing graduates and scholars were the mark people for the adulthood of the items raise, the approval to change educational program form sense. In Vallera & Bodzin's (2016) study, a content study was acted on various in-use simple erudition textbooks and syllabus to decide if and by virtue of what farming was instructed to pupils. Their judgments submitted that while land ideas were instructed, the syllabus acted not suitably mix freedom for raised land knowledge. Their approval search out reconstruct the syllabus accompanying land knowledge in mind. As juniors have persisted expected the basic focus in education land education (Kovar & Globe, 2013), scholars need expected smart to depend syllabuses that will efficiently nurture and invigorate land learning abilities.

The use of syllabuses in the school room is very complementary to the design and use of land education programs. Two together supply a medium by which players maybe made acquainted to ideas in farming, growing their land learning. We labeled various studies (Anderson and others., 2014; Luckey and others., 2013; Rodriguez and others., 2015) that proven the benefit of an land proficiency program and therefore urged further experiment or the experiment of akin programs. Model, Anderson and others. (2014) caught and tracked the stances of K-12 supervisors all along their happening at a Vacation Land Institute. Through the accumulation concerning this dossier, Anderson and others. were capable to path changes in ideas and decide that the program was actually profitable. Still, they still submitted habits to further test the program and sufficiently survey all street of potential betterings.

### Approvals

Accompanying even more land proficiency research caused earlier ten of something following in position or time Kovar and Sphere (2013), we approve that land knowledge research persists expected attended and written in the decades at hand. Still, in this place study and all the while the age we look at, our search agreements acted not bring about some disclosures except for the land instruction field. In an work to experience non-land fields about land learning, we again advise reaching land learning research and wording in disclosures to additional punishments. Furthermore, the paper performances establish inside the operations of AAAE conventions acted not appear to add up to items written in our field's journals. We advise that studies bestowed at AAAE colloquiums stretch to chase newspaper in our journals or in added punishments' journals.

### References

1. American Farm Bureau. The mainstays for land proficiency: A preparation form for agricultural learning programs. c2012. Available from: <https://computer.network.agfoundation.org/mainstays>
2. Anderson SM, Velez JJ, Thompson GW. An analysis of K-12 schoolteachers' perceptions of agriculture prior to



- and during engagement in an agricultural literacy program. *Journal of Agricultural Education*. 2014;55(3):132-146.  
<https://doi.org/10.5032/jae.2014.03132>
3. Anderson-McCoon K, Cartmell D, Terry R Jr. Fairgoers' attitudes toward heritage animals raised on farms exhibited at the California State Fair. *Journal of Applied Communications*. 2016;100(3).  
<https://doi.org/10.4148/1051-0834.1227>
  4. Baker MA, Eck C. Assessing the agricultural education of secondary agricultural education graduates – a preliminary study [Paper presentation]. American Association for Agricultural Education National Conference; 2019; Des Moines, IA, United States. Available from:  
<https://aaea.wildapricot.org/money/Documents/National/2019Meeting/2019AAAEProceedings.pdf>
  5. Bradford TJ, Hock G, Greenshaw L, Kingery WL. Comparing experiential learning techniques and direct instruction on undergraduate knowledge of agriculture among secondary school students. *Journal of Agricultural Education*. 2019;60(3):80-96.  
<https://doi.org/10.5032/jae.2019.03080>
  6. Bradford TJ, Hock G, Kingery W. A comparison of experiential learning techniques & direct instruction on undergraduate knowledge of agriculture [Paper presentation]. American Association for Agricultural Education Southern Region Conference; c2016; San Antonio, TX, United States. Available from:  
[https://aaea.wildapricot.org/possessions/Documents/Southern%20Region/2016%20AAAE%20Southern%20Conference%20Proceedings\[1\].pdf](https://aaea.wildapricot.org/possessions/Documents/Southern%20Region/2016%20AAAE%20Southern%20Conference%20Proceedings[1].pdf)
  7. Brune S, Stevenson K, Knollenberg W, Barbieri C. Development and validation of a children's agricultural literacy instrument for local foods. *Journal of Agricultural Education*. 2020;61(3):233-260.  
<https://doi.org/10.5032/jae.2020.0300233>
  8. Calico C, Edgar LD, Edgar DW, Johnson DM. Knowledge and perceptions of agricultural communications curriculum in Arkansas secondary agricultural classrooms. *Journal of Applied Communications*. 2014;98(4).  
<https://doi.org/10.4148/1051-0834.1090>
  9. Clemons CA, Linder JR, Murray B, Cook MP, Sams B, Williams G. Bridging the gap: The convergence of agricultural education and agricultural literacy. *Journal of Agricultural Education*. 2018;59(4):238-252.  
<https://doi.org/10.5032/jae.2018.04238>
  10. Cooper HM. Research synthesis and meta-analysis: A step-by-step approach. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; c2010.
  11. Dale C, Robinson JS, Edwards MC. An assessment of the agricultural literacy of incoming freshmen at a land-grant university. *NACTA Journal*. 2017;61(1):7-13. Available from: <https://computer.network.jstor.org/resistant/90004098>
  12. Denzin NK. The research act: A theoretical introduction to sociological methods. Chicago, IL: Aldine Publishing Company; c1970.
  13. Doerfert DL, editor. National research agenda: American Association for Agricultural Education's research priority areas for 2011-2015. Lubbock, TX: Texas Tech University, Department of Agricultural Education and Communications; c2011.